RECEIVED USDC. CLERK, CHARLESTON.S

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

2009 JUN -2 A 10: 10

Darryl Frierson, #106798,) C. A. No. 2:08-3298-RBH-RSC
Plaintiff,)
-versus-) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
Harold Walker; and Curtis Buford, in their official and individual capacities,)))
Defendants.)

On June 1, 2009, the plaintiff filed a "Motion to Dismiss Complaint" (Doc. #37) in this action. The defendants filed a response to the plaintiff's motion to dismiss (Doc. #38), and consented to dismissal with prejudice.

It is therefore recommended that this action be dismissed with prejudice.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert S. Carr

United States Magistrate Judge

alut & Can

Charleston, South Carolina

June <u>2</u>, 2008

Notice of Right to File Objections to Report and Recommendation

The parties are advised that they may file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation with the District Court Judge. Objections must specifically identify the portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections are made and the basis for such objections. In the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court judge need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005).

Specific written objections must be filed within ten (10) days of the date of service of this Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The time calculation of this ten-day period excludes weekends and holidays and provides for an additional three (3) days for filing by mail. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a) & (e). Filing by mail pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5 may be accomplished by mailing objections to:

Larry W. Propes, Clerk
United States District Court
P.O. Box 835
Charleston, South Carolina 29402

Failure to timely file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation will result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of the District Court based upon such Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985).